



D6.2 – Questionnaire on tool up-taking

NIRD URBAN-INCERC
Valencia Institute of Building (IVE)
July 2018



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 programme under Grant Agreement No 695873

Table of content

Introduction to the questionnaire.....	3
Questionnaire 1.....	4
Questionnaire 2.....	5

Introduction to the questionnaire

This report was developed within the project *ENERFUND – An ENERgy Retrofit FUNding tool* and it documents the work done in Task 6.3 *Conduct questionnaire on up-taking*.

In order to have feedback-interviews from the stakeholders that has the potential possibility to use ENERFUND tool, and after the conclusions of Athens meeting, few questions with check list answers are proposed. Indeed, two templates have been developed:

- One for partners where EPC data are already available on the ENERFUND tool: Questionnaire 1.
- One for countries (as FRANCE), where it will not be possible to have data and test the tool. It is proposed (as we mentioned in the meeting) to do the same questions with "conditional" tense: Questionnaire 2.

Both forms are available in the following section.

Questionnaire 1

ENERFUND TOOL UP-TAKING

- **Do you find the ENERFUND TOOL useful?** (only one option to answer?)
Yes No
- **If YES, why do you find it useful?** (you can choose 3 answers at the same time)
 - Because of the possibility to compare buildings
 - Only because of the graphical representation of the information (EPC classes in a map)
 - Because I am interested in the ENERFUND filtering options
 - Because of the quality of the ENERFUND data.
 - Because of the quantity of the ENERFUND data
 - It is useful for investments purposes
 - By using ENERFUND tool I can check my properties
 - By using ENERFUND I can correlate EPC data with other datasets (i.e. poverty areas)
 - Because it can inspire the development of other projects/initiatives.
- **If NOT, why do you consider that this tool is not useful for you?** (you can choose 3 answers at the same time)
 - I am not satisfied with of the graphical representation of the information
 - I am not satisfied with the filtering options
 - I am not satisfied with the quality of the data
 - I am not satisfied with the quantity of the data
 - I am not satisfied with the financial data provided
 - Because I want to correlate EPC data with other data (i.e. poverty areas) and I can't.
 - Because I want to offer to clients my retrofit services and the tool is not detailed enough
 - Other

Thank you!

Questionnaire 2

ENERFUND TOOL UP-TAKING

- If you could find EPC data of your country on the tool, would it be useful for your work? (only one option to answer)?

Yes No

- If YES, which would have been be the main benefits of this? (you can choose 3 answers at the same time)

- Because of the possibility to compare buildings
- Only because of the graphical representation of the information (EPC classes in a map)
- Because I am interested in the ENERFUND filtering options
- Because of the quality of the ENERFUND data.
- Because of the quantity of the ENERFUND data
- It is useful for investments purposes
- By using ENERFUND tool I can check my properties
- By using ENERFUND I can correlate EPC data with other datasets (i.e. poverty areas)
- Because it can inspire the development of other projects/initiatives.

- If NOT, which would have been the main barriers of this? (you can choose 3 answers at the same time)

- I am not satisfied with of the graphical representation of the information
- I am not satisfied with the filtering options
- I am not satisfied with the quality of the data
- I am not satisfied with the quantity of the data
- I am not satisfied with the financial data provided
- Because I want to correlate EPC data with other data (i.e. poverty areas) and I can't.
- Because I want to offer to clients my retrofit services and the tool is not detailed enough
- Other

Thank you!

PROJECT DETAILS:

Website: www.enerfund.eu

Twitter: [@enerfund](https://twitter.com/enerfund)

Facebook: [/enerfund](https://www.facebook.com/enerfund)

Disclaimer:

The sole responsibility for the content of this publication lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.